I. INTRODUCTION

The viscous interaction of a vortex with a solid plane perpendicular to its axis is a problem of industrial and atmospheric interest. This interaction may be so strong as to affect the global structure of the original vortex, even far away from the surface. A significative example, though not a vortex in the usual sense, is the rotating flow with constant angular velocity (solid body rotation) above a solid plane. This interaction was first studied by Bodewadt, finding one of the few exact solutions to the full Navier-Stokes equations. The original, purely rotating flow is completely transformed by the interaction with the solid surface, producing a radial inflow near the plane and an axial upward flow that extends up indefinitely near the plane.

For high Reynolds numbers, the boundary layer structure close to the plane has been analyzed for several types of nearly inviscid vortices. However, these boundary layer solutions are not valid near the axis, where the flow turns upward to form the viscous core of the vortex, thus failing to uncover the main feature of the vortex-surface interaction. For this reason, we have performed here a series of numerical simulations, using the full incompressible Navier-Stokes equations, to analyze the interaction of a relevant type of vortex with a solid surface. In particular, we have selected a family of vortices that far from the axis and far from the plane (located at $z=0$) corresponds, for high Reynolds numbers, to the outer inviscid behavior of Long’s vortex. That is to say, we consider a family of vortices whose velocity field $\mathbf{v} = (u, v, w)$ in cylindrical polar coordinates $(r, \theta, z)$, for large $r$ and $z$, behaves as

$$u \sim 0, \quad v \sim L \frac{W_0}{r}, \quad w \sim \frac{W_0}{r},$$

(1)

where $W_0$ is a constant with the dimensions of a circulation and $L$ is the nondimensional swirl parameter. We have selected this vortex instead of a potential vortex with just circumferential velocity because most of actual vortices of interest have also a significant meridional motion even far away from the axis. In fact, the flow (1) is the large $r$ and large $z$ behavior of an exact solution to Euler equations, which can be written as

$$\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{V}(y) \frac{1}{r}, \quad y = \frac{r}{z},$$

(2)

where the three components of the vector function $\mathbf{V}(y)$ can be obtained from the integration of just one ordinary differential equation. This solution is the particular case $m=1$ of a more general family of inviscid vortices with a radial decay of the form $r^{-m}$ in (2). It is singular both at the axis ($y=0$) and at the solid surface ($y \to \infty$). At the axis it is regularized by a viscous slender region with self-similar structure, which constitutes the so-called Long’s vortex, while at the solid
plane it is regularized by a viscous boundary layer, which has also a self-similar structure, but now of the second kind. However, neither of these boundary layers is valid near the origin \((r=0, z=0)\), where the vortex axis intersects the plane, and where the flow structure cannot be described by a boundary layer approximation. In that region, the radial inflow in the boundary layer turns upward to form the viscous core of the vortex, which, sufficiently far from the surface, and for sufficiently high Reynolds numbers, has to tend to one member of the family of Long’s self-similar solutions. One of the main objectives of this paper is to find out which one of these self-similar solutions corresponds to a free vortex interacting with a solid plane. Also, we want to describe how this solution is reached as the Reynolds number is increased. To that end we solve numerically the full incompressible and axisymmetric Navier-Stokes equations with boundary conditions far from the axis given by the composite solution constituted by the inviscid solution (2) for large \(r\) (large \(y\)) plus the self-similar boundary layer solution near the plane. Burggraf and Foster\(^{12}\) made a somewhat related analysis some time ago, but they used the parabolic, or near-axis, approximation of the Navier-Stokes equations for large Reynolds numbers, which, of course, cannot model the interaction of the vortex with a solid surface. These authors integrated the parabolic equation starting from arbitrary vortices decaying as \(r^{-1}\) at a given axial location, and followed their parabolic evolution as \(z\) increased. They found that the downstream solution either breaks down or tends to Long’s self-similar solution of Type II (i.e., with negative axial velocity at the axis, see below) as \(z \to \infty\), depending on the parameters of the initial vortex. A similar conclusion was recently found by Perez-Saborid et al.,\(^{13}\) also using the parabolic approximation of the flow equations, including the energy equation. As a substantial difference, here we use the full Navier-Sokes equations and consider a problem of great practical interest, the interaction of a free vortex with a solid surface. We also find that the solution for large \(z\) tends to Type II Long’s self-similar solution if the Reynolds number is large enough, but we connect the formation of this two-celled structure with the swirl intensification occurring in tornado-like vortices interacting with a solid surface. As we shall see, the flow structure in the region near the axis where the vortex meets the wall is quite complex, with vortex breakdown and other flow transitions taking place as the Reynolds number increases. Similar complex structures, but for external far-field vortices which do not match the one considered in this work as a boundary condition, have been observed experimentally and discussed by Phillips and Khoo,\(^{14,15}\) and by Hirsa, Lopez, and Kim.\(^{16}\) In particular, these latter authors found that the essential dynamics in the effusive corner region are axisymmetric, with a large toroidal structure developing from the wall boundary layer. However, in the work of Phillips and Khoo, the appearance of the near-axis structure is related to vortex breakdown. In our numerical simulations, we find an interplay between both mechanisms, vortex breakdown at the axis, and effusing boundary layer flow at the wall, which at the end, for sufficiently high Reynolds numbers, tends to the formation of a two-celled flow structure far from the wall. This two-celled structure corresponds, as we shall show, to a Type II Long’s vortex. It has no relation to Sullivan’s two-cell vortex.\(^{17}\) Sullivan’s exact solution to the Navier-Stokes equations, which does not satisfy the no-slip boundary condition at the solid wall \(z=0\), has a radial structure of the tangential velocity qualitatively similar to Long’s Type II vortex. However, this tangential velocity has no axial variation like in Long’s vortex, and, what is more relevant here, the axial velocity in Sullivan’s vortex is completely different: it grows with the axial distance \(z\) and tends to a constant far from the axis, while the axial velocity in Long’s vortex decays as \(r^{-1}\) far from the axis [Eq. (2)] and presents a more complex axial variation, which, for instance, behaves as \(1/z\) at the axis.\(^{9,11}\)

II. FORMULATION OF THE PROBLEM AND NUMERICAL METHOD

A. Mathematical formulation

We consider here the incompressible and axisymmetric flow in a domain above a solid plane at \(z=0\), and inside a cylindrical region of radius \(r_0\) and height \(z_f\) (see Fig. 1): The swirling flow enters through the lateral surface \(r=r_0\), and goes out through the upper surface, \(z=z_f\gg r_0\). To avoid boundary conditions for the pressure, we use a formulation of the flow equations in terms of the stream function, the circulation, and the azimuthal component of the vorticity, commonly used in this type of flow (e.g., Ref. 18). First we define the following dimensionless variables:

\[
r^* = \frac{r}{r_0}, \quad z^* = \frac{z}{z_0},
\]

where \(z_0\) is an arbitrary characteristic axial length such that

\[
\Delta = \frac{r_0}{z_0} \ll 1;
\]

FIG. 1. Sketch of the dimensional integration domain and coordinates.
\[ \Psi^* = \frac{\Psi}{V_0}, \quad \Gamma^* = \frac{\Gamma}{V_0}, \quad \Pi^* = \frac{\eta}{V_0}, \]  

(5)

where \( V_0 \) is a characteristic velocity that will be taken from (1) as \( V_0 = \frac{W_0}{r_0} \) and the stream function \( \Psi \), the circulation \( \Gamma \), and the azimuthal component of the vorticity \( \eta \) are defined in terms of the velocity field \((u, v, w)\) as

\[ u = -\frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial \zeta}, \quad w = \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial \tau}, \quad \Gamma = rv, \]  

(6)

\[ \eta = \frac{\partial u}{\partial \zeta} - \frac{\partial v}{\partial \tau}. \]  

(7)

With this formulation, the continuity equation is satisfied identically, and the equations to be solved are the azimuthal component of the momentum equation for \( \Pi^* \), the azimuthal component of the momentum equation for \( \Gamma^* \), and the definition (7) of the vorticity in terms of the stream function (6) for \( \Psi^* \). In dimensionless form, they can be written as \(^{18}\) (in what follows, we shall drop the superscript \( * \) in the dimensionless variables, understanding that all variables are dimensionless, except otherwise specified)

\[ \frac{\partial \Pi}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta} \left( r \frac{\partial \Pi}{\partial \zeta} \right) + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} \left( r \frac{\partial \Pi}{\partial \tau} \right) + \frac{2 \Pi}{r} \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial \zeta} = \frac{2 \Gamma \Delta^2}{r^2} \frac{1}{\text{Re}} \nabla^2 \Pi, \]  

(8)

\[ \frac{\partial \Gamma}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta} \left( r \frac{\partial \Gamma}{\partial \zeta} \right) + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} \left( r \frac{\partial \Gamma}{\partial \tau} \right) = \frac{1}{\text{Re} \Delta} \nabla^2 \Gamma, \]  

(9)

\[ \Pi = -\nabla^2 \Psi, \]  

(10)

where

\[ \nabla^2 = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \zeta^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \tau^2} - \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r}. \]  

(11)

and

\[ \text{Re} = \frac{V_0 r_0}{\nu} = \frac{W_0}{\nu}, \]  

(12)

is the Reynolds number, with \( \nu \) the kinematic viscosity.

The main input of the problem solved here is the boundary condition at \( r=1 \) (\( r=r_0 \) in terms of the dimensional radius), which is given by the composite solution of an inviscid flow of the form (2) and the corresponding matching with its viscous boundary layer near \( z=0 \). This boundary layer solution was found in Ref. 8 to be a similarity solution of the second kind, which contains an additional, and arbitrary, radial length \( R \) (see Fig. 1). Therefore, without loss of generality (since \( R \) is arbitrary), we assume that \( r_0 \) is much smaller than \( R \), but of course much larger than the boundary layer thickness. Thus, the boundary condition at \( r=1 \) can be written as the following composite expressions:

\[ \Psi(r=1,z) = \Psi_{in}(z) = \Psi_{in} + \Psi_{bl} - \Psi_{comm}, \]  

(13)

\[ \Gamma(r=1,z) = \Gamma_{in}(z) = \Gamma_{in} + \Gamma_{bl} - \Psi_{comm}, \]  

(14)

\[ \Pi(r=1,z) = \Pi_{in}(z) = \Pi_{in} + \Pi_{bl} - \Pi_{comm}. \]  

(15)

where the subscripts, \( \text{bl} \) and \( \text{comm} \), make reference to the inviscid solution, the boundary layer solution, and the common part, respectively. Each of these solutions will be briefly described next.

In the formulation \( \Psi - \Gamma - \Pi \), the inviscid flow (2) can be written as

\[ \Psi_{in} = LrY(y), \quad \Gamma_{in} = L, \quad \Pi_{in} = -\nabla^2 \Psi_{in}, \]  

(16)

where in the present dimensionless variables \( y = \Delta r/z \), and the function \( Y(y) \) comes from the solution to an ordinary differential equation. \(^{10}\) For the case (2) considered here of a flow decaying as \( r^{-1} \) \((m=1)\) in the notation of Refs. 10 and 11, corresponding to Long’s vortex, this function can be obtained analytically:

\[ Y(y) = \frac{\sqrt{2} \left( \sqrt{1 + \gamma^2} - 1 \right)^{1/2}}{L} \gamma. \]  

(17)

In addition, as is observed in (16), the inviscid circulation in this case is just a constant, which in the dimensionless variable \( \Gamma \) is equal to the swirl parameter \( L \). As shown in Ref. 11, the only value of \( L \) for which this inviscid solution can be regularized at the axis by viscosity (in the present case with \( m=1 \)) is \( L = \sqrt{2} \), so that this will be the only value of the swirl parameter considered in what follows. This coupling between meridional and azimuthal velocity through \( L = \sqrt{2} \) is implicit in Long’s vortex. \(^{9,12}\)

The boundary layer solution near the solid plane at \( z=0 \), assuming that \( r_0 \ll R \), can be written as

\[ \Psi_{bl} = \left( \text{Re}_0 / 2 \right)^{-1/3} f(\gamma), \quad \Gamma_{bl} = \sqrt{2} g(\gamma), \quad \Pi_{bl} = -\nabla^2 \Psi_{bl}. \]  

(18)

In these expressions, the functions \( f(\gamma) \) and \( g(\gamma) \), where

\[ \gamma = 2^{1/3} \text{Re}_0^{1/3} \frac{\text{Re}}{L^2}, \quad \text{Re}_0 = \text{Re} \Delta, \]  

(19)

are the solution to a couple of ordinary differential equations, \(^{8}\)

\[ f'' + ff'' + f'^2 = 0, \quad g'' + f'g' = 0, \]  

(20)

\[ f(0) = f'(0) = g(0) = 0, \quad f(\gamma \rightarrow \infty) \rightarrow \sqrt{\gamma}, \quad g(\gamma \rightarrow \infty) \rightarrow L/\sqrt{2}, \]  

(21)

with primes denoting differentiation with respect to \( \gamma \). In writing Eqs. (18) and (19), we have also assumed that

\[ \left( \frac{r_0}{R} \right)^3 = \Delta = \frac{r_0}{z_0} \ll 1. \]  

(22)

This choice of the characteristic axial length \( z_0 \) allows us to eliminate the arbitrary length \( R \) in the formulation of the problem, without loss of generality. Thus, the only two dimensionless parameters in the problem are \( \text{Re}_0 = \text{Re} \Delta = \text{Re}(r_0/R)^3 \) and \( \Delta \). But \( \Delta \) is a geometrical parameter, of much less physical relevance than the Reynolds number \( \text{Re}_0 \), and will be fixed to \( \Delta = 0.1 \) in all the numerical results given.
below (remember that the swirl parameter $L$ has been fixed to $\sqrt{2}$).

Finally, the common part between the inviscid solution (16) and the boundary layer solution (18) is obtained by making $\gamma \to \infty$ in (16) or, alternatively, $\gamma \to \infty$ in (18) resulting in

$$
\Psi_{\text{comm}} = \left( \frac{2r}{\Delta} \right)^{1/2}, \quad \Gamma_{\text{comm}} = L, \quad \Pi_{\text{comm}} = -\nabla^2 \Psi_{\text{comm}}.
$$

(23)

Substituting all these expressions into (13)–(15), one obtains the flow boundary conditions at $r=1$. Figure 2 shows the details of the matching for $Re_0 = 10$, $\Delta = 0.1$, and Fig. 3 depicts the boundary conditions for several values of $Re_0$ with $\Delta = 0.1$.

The remaining boundary conditions for Eqs. (8)–(10) are the following: at the solid wall ($z=0$) the velocity field vanishes, implying that

$$
\Psi(r, z = 0) = 0, \quad \Gamma(r, z = 0) = 0, \quad \Pi(r, z = 0) = 0,
$$

(24)

at the axis ($r=0$) one has the symmetry conditions

$$
\Psi(r = 0, z) = \Gamma(r = 0, z) = \Pi(r = 0, z) = 0,
$$

(25)

and at $z = z_1 = \tilde{z}_l/\tilde{r}_0$ we assume that the second derivatives of the variables vanish,

$$
\frac{\partial^2 \Psi}{\partial z^2} = \frac{\partial^2 \Gamma}{\partial z^2} = \frac{\partial^2 \Pi}{\partial z^2} = 0, \quad \text{at} \quad z = z_1.
$$

(26)

In the computations, we use different values of $z_1 \gg 1$ in order to assure that the numerical solution does not depend on the specific value of $z_1$, where this last boundary condition is applied (remember that $z_0/r_0 = \Delta^{-1} \gg 1$).

B. Numerical method

We are mostly interested in the steady-state solutions of the problem formulated above for different (increasing) values of the Reynolds number $Re_0$. For this reason, no initial condition has been specified. To obtain these steady solutions numerically, we use a continuation and bifurcation method very similar to that described in Sanchez et al.\textsuperscript{19} Basically, the equations and boundary conditions (8)–(26), once discretized on $N = (N_r + 1) \times (N_z + 1)$ spatial nodes, including the boundaries, are written in the vector compact form

$$
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \mathbf{B} \cdot \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{L} \cdot \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{N}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}, Re_0),
$$

(27)

where $\mathbf{x} = (\Psi, \Gamma, \Pi)^T$ is a vector of size $3N$ formed by the values of the variables $\Psi$, $\Gamma$, and $\Pi$ on every spatial node, and $\mathbf{B}$, $\mathbf{L}$, and $\mathbf{N}$ are the discretized versions of the continuous linear and nonlinear operators in the equations and boundary conditions. The steady-state solutions are obtained by solving the corresponding time-independent equation $\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}, Re_0) = 0$, together with an additional condition, which allows us to follow the solution of this equation as the parameter $Re_0$ is varied. To be able to follow the solution in the presence of folds or bifurcations, a pseudo-arclength continuation method is used.\textsuperscript{20} In terms of the continuation variable $s$ along the curve of solutions, the equations to be solved are

$$
\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}, Re_0) = \mathbf{L} \cdot \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{N}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}) = 0
$$

(28)

and

FIG. 2. (Color online) Matching details of the boundary conditions (13)–(15) for $\Psi$ (a), $\Gamma$ (b), and $\Pi$ (c) at $r=1$, for $Re_0 = 10$, $\Delta = 0.1$, and $L = \sqrt{2}$.
where \((x^0, Re_0)\) is the predicted point along the curve of solutions in the space \((x, Re)\), which is obtained by quadratic extrapolation from the three previous solutions along the curve for a given increment \(\delta s\). Once this predicted point is obtained, the solution to (28) and (29) is found by a Newton-Raphson iteration method. To start this continuation method, one needs the steady solutions for three initial (low) values of \(Re_0\). These are obtained by solving either the time-dependent equation (27) starting from the flow at rest, which is feasible for very low values of \(Re_0\), or by solving the time-independent equation \(f(x, Re_0) = 0\) starting from an initial approximate flow of the form

\[
n(x, Re_0) = \frac{dx}{ds} = (x - x^0) + \frac{dRe_0}{ds}(Re_0 - Re_0^0) = 0,
\]

where \(\Psi_1, \Gamma_1\), and \(\Pi_1\) are the boundary conditions (13)–(15) at \(r=1\). In the former case, the time-dependent equation is solved by a second-order predictor-corrector method with a time step \(\delta t\), and in the second case we use a Newton-Raphson iteration method. In the computations given below, we have used a combination of all these methods to check the accuracy of the results, reserving the continuation method to pass the folds in \(Re_0\).

For the spatial discretizations, we have used second-order finite differences on a nonuniform mesh, concentrating the nodes near the plane and near the axis (i.e., for small \(z\) and \(r\)). The resulting sparse linear system of equations has been solved with the subroutines in the SPARSEKIT package (a basic tool kit for sparse matrix computations, version 2, http://www-users.cs.umn.edu/~saad/software/SPARSKIT/sparskit.html). Finally, to check the accuracy of the results we have used different mesh sizes (different values of \(N_r\) and \(N_z\)) and different nonuniform distribution of the nodes. In addition, a spectral collocation method in the radial direction, but maintaining the finite differences in the \(z\) direction, has been used. Typically, we have used values of \(N_r\) between 80 and 120, and values of \(N_z\) between 200 and 1100, depending on \(Re_0\), so that the number of unknowns \(3N\) ranges between just 48000 to almost \(4 \times 10^5\).

Figure 4 compares results obtained from different grids and methods as \(Re_0\) is varied. As the test value we use the maximum azimuthal velocity in the whole domain, \(v_{\text{max}}\), which is the most sensitive quantity to the numerical accuracy we have found. In addition, this maximum swirl will be used in the next section, among other flow magnitudes, to characterize the different transitions in the flow as \(Re_0\) is varied. It is observed that, up to \(Re_0 \simeq 50\), finite differences,
and just $N_r \times N_z = 80 \times 200$ nodes, are enough to have a good accuracy. In fact, up to the first bifurcation ($Re_0 = 66$, see the next section), finite differences provide good results with a reasonable number of nodes. However, after this bifurcation, the structure of the flow becomes more involved, especially near the origin, and the gradients near the solid plane are so large that the use of finite differences in the radial direction becomes prohibitive for our computer facility. For that reason, after that Reynolds number we use a spectral collocation technique in the radial direction, which is much more accurate for the same number of nodes, but at the cost of a more complex numerical code, and more computer memory for a given $N_r$, because the matrices become less sparse. However, one may use much smaller values of $N_r$ for the same accuracy.\textsuperscript{22} We use Chebyshev polynomials, with the spectral nodes $s_j = \cos(j \pi / N_r)$, $j = 0, 1, \ldots, N_r$, distributed in the radial direction according to the linear transformation $r_j = (1 + s_j) / 2$, which concentrates the nodes near the axis $r = 0$ (and also near the boundary $r = 1$). It is observed in Fig. 4 that this spectral method yields very accurate results with just $N_r = 50$ (together with $N_z = 1100$ finite-difference axial nodes very concentrated near the plane $z = 0$) up to the second bifurcation ($Re_0 \approx 158$, see the next section). After that bifurcation, we have to increase the number of radial, spectral nodes to $N_r = 100$. In most of the results reported below, we use $N_r = 100$ spectral nodes in the radial direction and $N_z = 1100$ finite-difference nodes in the axial direction.

### III. RESULTS

We present here the different solutions of the problem for a given small value of $\Delta = 0.1$ as the Reynolds number $Re_0$ is varied, which is the only parameter left in the problem once $L$ has been fixed to $\sqrt{2}$. We also fix $z_1 = 5.7$, which is large enough for the solution to be independent of the boundary condition (26) for the highest values of $Re_0$ considered (for small $Re_0$ one may use much smaller values of $z_1$). Note that in all the results given below the vertical scale is actually $\Delta^{-1} = 10$ times larger that the radial scale, so that the height of the computational domain is in fact 57 times larger than its radius. Also, the actual Reynolds number of the flow (12), based on $r_0$ and on the characteristic velocity $V_0$, is $Re_0 = Re_0 / \Delta = 10Re_0$.

To have a preliminary idea of the solution for a relatively low value of $Re_0$, Fig. 5 shows contour lines for $\Psi$, $\Gamma$, and $\Pi$ in the $(r, z)$ plane for $Re_0 = 15$ (only the interval $0 \leq z \leq 1$ is shown in the figure). For this Reynolds number, the flow is one-celled and smooth everywhere, entering at $r = 1$ with the...
flow rate mostly concentrated near the plane \( z = 0 \), and turning upwards to exit the computational domain at its top. The flow is upwards all along the axis. The swirl intensifies near the axis, particularly near the plane, where the flow turns up.

As the Reynolds number increases, the flow becomes more and more involved, especially close to the plane \( z = 0 \), undergoing several transitions in the form of vortex breakdown bubbles at the axis and other bifurcations. To visualize these transitions, we use Fig. 4 together with Fig. 6, which shows the value of \( \Psi / H_2 \) as a function of \( \text{Re}_0 \) at two different points near the axis, \( r = 0.05 \) at two different heights \( z \) very close to the surface. The maximum value of the Reynolds number we have reached is \( \text{Re}_0 = 216 \). There are five main transitions, marked with the letters \( A - E \) in Fig. 6, which are described next.

A. Vortex breakdown bubbles

At \( \text{Re}_0 = \text{Re}_0^A \approx 34 \) a breakdown bubble appears at the axis, just above \( z = 0.5 \) (see Fig. 7). This is not a bifurcation of the solution in the parameter \( \text{Re}_0 \), but a smooth transition, quite similar to the first appearance of a breakdown bubble in Escudier’s cell, as described, for instance, by Lopez.\(^{23}\) The appearance of this breakdown bubble is preceded, as discussed by Brown and Lopez,\(^{24}\) by a change in the sign of the local vorticity (see Fig. 7 for \( \Pi \)).

As the Reynolds number increases above \( \text{Re}_0^A \), the breakdown bubble increases in size and moves downwards toward the solid plane. Eventually, at \( \text{Re}_0 \approx 38 \), a second breakdown bubble appears just above the first one (see Fig. 8 for \( \text{Re}_0 = 40 \)). This transition is also smooth and very similar to that in Escudier’s cell.\(^{23}\) As \( \text{Re}_0 \) increases further, these two breakdown bubbles coalesce (see, e.g., Fig. 11 discussed below).

B. Swirl intensification near the surface

At \( \text{Re}_0 = \text{Re}_0^B \approx 55 \), the swirl intensifies abruptly near the surface \( z = 0 \). This transition is not appreciated in Fig. 6, but it is in Fig. 4 as a change in the rate at which \( v_{\text{max}} \) increases with \( \text{Re}_0 \). In fact, the circulation does not change appreciably, but the height \( z \) at which the maximum swirl is located goes down abruptly at this Reynolds number [see Fig. 9(a)]. For \( \text{Re}_0 > \text{Re}_0^B \), the maximum swirl remains very close to the surface (below \( z = 0.01 \)). The location of the maximum swirl also approaches abruptly to the axis at \( \text{Re}_0 = \text{Re}_0^B \) [see Fig. 9(b)]. This intensification of the swirl near \( z = 0 \), which is a consequence of the breakdown bubbles traveling toward the floor, is relevant because it makes possible the bifurcation described next.

C. First bifurcation, third breakdown bubble

Point C in Fig. 6 corresponds to a bifurcation in the solution, where in a small interval of Reynolds numbers, \( \text{Re}_0^C < \text{Re}_0 < \text{Re}_0^C = 66.87 \) (see zoom in Fig. 10), three different solutions coexist for the same \( \text{Re}_0 \). A close view near the origin of the different solutions for \( \text{Re}_0 = 66 \), marked in Fig. 10 with 1, 2, and 3, is depicted in Figs. 11–13. The solutions 1 and 2 are quite similar (Figs. 11 and 12), with a unique large breakdown bubble at the axis between
$z \approx 0.075$ and $z \approx 0.5$, which comes from the coalescence of the two previous breakdown bubbles. However, solution 3 (Fig. 13) is qualitatively different, with a new vortex breakdown bubble very close to the plane, small but very intense, since the swirl is very concentrated at the axis near the plane after transition B. The formation of this new vortex breakdown bubble is thus qualitatively different from the previous smooth transition A, being similar to the vortex breakdown bifurcation in pipes described by Beran and Culik,$^{25}$ and by Lopez.$^{26}$ As $Re_0$ increases above $Re_0^{C_2} \approx 66.87$, the solution changes abruptly from type 1 to type 3, with a new vortex breakdown bubble very close to the plane. Conversely, if $Re_0$ now decreases below $Re_0^{C_1} \approx 65.58$, the solution changes abruptly from type 3 to type 1, during which the lower breakdown bubble disappears, thereby closing the hysteresis cycle. This type of transition was theoretically studied for inviscid swirling flows in pipes by Wang and Rusak.$^{27}$ Solutions of type 2 are unstable and would never occur in practice. From a numerical point of view, the Jacobian associated to Eq. (27) becomes singular at $Re_0^{C_2}$ ($Re_0^{C_1}$), requiring a continuation method to pass through it in the solution curve for increasing (decreasing) Reynolds numbers.$^{19,25,26}$

**D. Transition to Type II, or two-cell, solution**

As $Re_0$ increases further, the breakdown bubbles in Fig. 13 coalesce, and the recirculating zone increases in size upwards along the axis until, eventually, it reaches suddenly the upper (exit) surface when $Re_0=Re_0^D \approx 113$. For larger $Re_0$, the flow comes down at the axis from the upper surface $z=z_1$. The process is shown in Fig. 14, where the streamlines for three increasing values of $Re_0$ are plotted (note that the full scale in $z$ is now plotted). The abruptness of the transition can be observed in Fig. 15, where we plot the height $z$ of

---

**FIG. 10.** Detail of the curve for $z=0.01$ in Fig. 6 near the bifurcation $C$.

**FIG. 11.** Contour lines corresponding to the solution in point 1 of Fig. 10. $Re_0=66.0$. (Contours as in Fig. 5.)

**FIG. 12.** Contour lines corresponding to the solution in point 2 of Fig. 10. $Re_0=66.0021$.

**FIG. 13.** Contour lines corresponding to the solution in point 3 of Fig. 10. $Re_0=66.0971$. 

---
the upper stagnation point of the breakdown bubbles as a function of \( Re_0 \), showing a vertical asymptote at \( Re_0 \). Therefore, the computed value of this critical Reynolds \( Re_0 \) is almost independent of the computational height \( z_1 \), provided it is sufficiently large.

For \( Re_0 > Re_0^D \), most of the axis, from the top \( z = z_1 \) to almost the plane \( z = 0 \), has negative axial velocity at the axis; i.e., we have a two-celled solution in most of the flow, which in the notation of the self-similar viscous solutions near the axis is termed as a solution of Type II.\(^{11,12}\) Actually, the flow becomes almost columnar near the axis once this critical value has been surpassed (see Fig. 16 for \( Re_0 = 125 \)), with the central downdraft region in almost solid body rotation. The swirl in the annular updraft region becomes intensified as \( Re_0 \) increases. This behavior can be observed in Fig. 17, where we plot the radial profiles of the azimuthal and axial velocity components at \( z = 2 \) for increasing values of \( Re_0 \).

The intensification of the swirl in a transition from a one-cell to a two-cell flow structure is a well known phenomenon in the tornado literature.\(^{28,29}\) However, the maximum swirl in the whole domain, which is located near the solid plane (see Fig. 9), decreases after this transition (Fig. 4) because the central slow downflow now approaches the ground. Another consequence of this transition is the formation of axial, axisymmetric standing waves in the lower part of the annular updraft region, which can already be observed in Fig. 16 for \( Re_0 = 125 \). As we shall see below, these spatial undulations are intensified as \( Re_0 \) increases. They are typical of the viscous interaction of a solid-body rotating flow, like that inside the annular updraft, with the ground, or Bödewadt-type flows.\(^{1,16,18}\)

### E. Second bifurcation, self-similar solutions

As the Reynolds number increases further, the flow near the axis and far from the ground must approach Long’s self-similar solutions. In fact, according to the results of the above section III D, they must approach a two-celled, or Type II, self-similar solution, with negative axial velocity at the axis. We find that this is the case but, before that asymptote is reasonably accurate, there exists a second bifurcation in the flow similar to that in C: in a small interval of Reynolds numbers, \( Re_0^E < Re_0 < Re_0^{E1} \approx 158.5 \) (see zoom in Fig. 18), three different solutions coexist for the same \( Re_0 \). That marked in Fig. 18 with 3 for \( Re_0 = 157.8 \) is depicted in Fig. 19. The other two solutions, marked in Fig. 18 with 1 and 2, are quantitatively very similar, because the intensity of the bifurcation is in fact very small, and are not shown. But there exists a qualitative difference in the evolution of the solutions after this bifurcation that affects all the subsequent solutions for \( Re_0 > Re_0^{E1} \).

This bifurcation corresponds to the Reynolds numbers at
which the downdraft region reaches the ground, with the lower stagnation point below the region of recirculating flow jumping from the axis to the solid plane (Fig. 19). We observe in Figs. 4, 6, and 9 that once this bifurcation is passed for \( \text{Re}_0 > \text{Re}_0^{E1} = 158 \), the global behavior of the flow changes, in the sense that its subsequent evolution as \( \text{Re}_0 \) increases becomes smooth, particularly near the ground. Thus, for instance, the solution for \( \text{Re}_0 = 175 \) shown in Fig. 20 is qualitatively very similar to that shown in Fig. 19. In fact, as we shall see next, suddenly starting from \( \text{Re}_0^{E1} \), the flow far from the ground compares very well with Long’s similarity solutions. That is to say, this transition seems to mark the onset for the self-similar behavior of the solutions for large Reynolds numbers at large \( z \).

To make this comparison, we first look for a representation of the numerical solutions, which allow us to see how far we are from a similarity solution at all. To that end, we define the variables

\[
\rho = \frac{r}{r_{\text{max}}(z)}, \quad V = \frac{v}{v_{\text{max}}(z)}, \quad W = \frac{w}{v_{\text{max}}(z)},
\]

where \( v_{\text{max}}(z) \) is the maximum value of the azimuthal velocity component for a given height \( z \) and \( r_{\text{max}}(z) \) is its radial location. Figure 21 shows \( V \) and \( W \) as functions of \( \rho \) for several heights \( z \) between 3 and \( z_1 \), and for four values of \( \text{Re}_0 \) (the same values considered in Fig. 17 for \( z = 2 \)). We observe the collapse of the solutions, especially for the last three values of \( \text{Re}_0 \), which are larger than \( \text{Re}_0^{E1} \), thus showing that the solution far from the ground tends to a similarity solution, the more so the higher the Reynolds number. These variables \( \rho \), \( V \), and \( W \) correspond (are proportional) to Long’s similarity variables if.

FIG. 17. (a) Radial profiles of the azimuthal velocity at \( z = 2 \) for different values of \( \text{Re}_0 \). Also plotted is the inviscid behavior \( L/r \) (boundary condition at \( r = 1 \)). (b) Radial profiles of the axial velocity for the same values of \( \text{Re}_0 \).

FIG. 18. (Color online) Detail of the curve for \( z = 0.1 \) in Fig. 6 near the bifurcation \( E \).

FIG. 19. Contour lines near the origin corresponding to the solution in point 3 of Fig. 18, \( \text{Re}_0 = 157.8 \). (Contours as in Fig. 5.)
for large $z$ and $\text{Re} = \frac{\text{Re}_0}{\Delta}$, with $n_1 = n_2 = 1$ for Long’s vortex ($m = 1$ in the notation of Ref. 11). Figure 22 shows that, for $\text{Re}_0 = 216$, the above behavior with $r_{\text{max}}$ a power function of $z$ is very accurate, but with $n_1$ slightly smaller than unity. In fact, as is shown in Fig. 23, where the powers $n_1$ and $n_2$ obtained numerically are plotted as functions of $\text{Re}_0$, both $n_1$ and $n_2$ are close to 1 for large $z$ and $\text{Re}_0$. The dashed lines in Fig. 23 represent the corresponding Long’s self-similar solution.
and $n_2$ are very close to unity for $Re_0 > Re_0^{E1} \approx 158$, and tend (slowly) to unity as $Re_0$ increases.

To make a quantitative comparison of the numerical velocity profiles with the self-similar solutions, we have to adjust the proportionality constants missing in (32) to those appearing in Long’s similarity variables. In addition, Long’s similarity solution is not unique, but there exists a multiplicity of solutions, each of them characterized by a different value of the nondimensional axial velocity at the axis, which in Ref. 11 is termed as $2A_1$. One of the best ways to adjust all this is by computing $W_{\text{max}}/V_{\text{max}} (=W_{\text{max}}$ since $V_{\text{max}} = 1$ by definition) for each $Re_0$, and selecting the value of $A_1$ in Long’s similarity solution for the same value of $W_{\text{max}}/V_{\text{max}}$. Figure 24 shows Long’s similarity solution for different values of $A_1$, and Fig. 25 depicts the ratio $W_{\text{max}}/V_{\text{max}}$ computed from that figure as a function of $A_1$ in its negative range $-1/\sqrt{2} < A_1 \leq 0$. On the other hand, Fig. 26 shows $W_{\text{max}}/V_{\text{max}}$ obtained from the numerical simulations as function of $Re_0$. (Note in this figure how the behavior changes at the critical Reynolds number $Re_0 = Re_0^{E1} \approx 158$, as commented on above.) Thus, comparing Figs. 25 and 26 we can select the corresponding values of $A_1$ and, therefore, the corresponding member of the family of Long’s self-similar solution for each value of $Re_0$ (see Fig. 27). Making use of these values $A_1(Re_0)$, we have included with dashed lines in Fig. 21 the corresponding Long’s self-similar solution for each value of $Re_0$. It is observed that the agreement is quite good, particularly for the three last cases; i.e., for $Re_0 > Re_0^{E1}$.

In Fig. 28, we have plotted the nondimensional flow force $M$ in Long’s vortex corresponding to each $A_1$ (i.e., Fig. 2 in Ref. 30, which is reproduced here for convenience), showing the existence of two different solutions, termed Type I and Type II, for each value of $M$. Clearly, the solutions found here numerically are of Type II with $A_1 < 0$. Making use of this figure, together with Fig. 27, we have plotted in Fig. 29 the corresponding Long’s nondimensional

\begin{figure}[h]
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\includegraphics[width=\textwidth]{fig23.png}
\caption{Computed values $n_1$ (continuous line) and $n_2$ (dashed line) as a function of $Re_0$.}
\end{figure}

\begin{figure}[h]
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\includegraphics[width=\textwidth]{fig24.png}
\caption{(Color online) $W_{\text{max}}/V_{\text{max}}$ as a function of $A_1(\leq 0)$ for Long’s self-similar solution (from Fig. 24). We have marked with a horizontal dashed line the case corresponding to $Re_0 = 216$, and with a vertical dashed line the minimum value of $A_1 = -1/\sqrt{2}$.}
\end{figure}

\begin{figure}[h]
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\includegraphics[width=\textwidth]{fig25.png}
\caption{(Color online) $W_{\text{max}}/V_{\text{max}}$ as a function of $A_1(\leq 0)$ for Long’s self-similar solution (from Fig. 24). We have marked with a horizontal dashed line the case corresponding to $Re_0 = 216$, and with a vertical dashed line the minimum value of $A_1 = -1/\sqrt{2}$.}
\end{figure}
flow force $M$ of the numerical solutions as a function of $Re_0$. It is observed that, for $Re_0$ larger than approximately $Re_0^{E1}$, the flow force evolves linearly with $Re_0$. This seems to indicate that as $Re_0 \rightarrow \infty$ the solution far from the ground tends to Long’s self-similar solution with the lowest possible axial velocity at the axis, i.e., with $A_1 \rightarrow -1/\sqrt{2}$. However, we have not been able with our computer facilities to reach values of $Re_0$ larger than approximately 216 ($Re=Re_0/\Delta=2160$).

IV. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

We have considered here the interaction of a quite general type of axisymmetric free vortex with a solid plane perpendicular to its axis by solving numerically the full incompressible Navier-Stokes equations. For high Reynolds numbers, and far from the plane, this family of vortices corresponds to the inviscid outer behavior of Long’s vortex, commonly used as a model of tornado-like vortices.\textsuperscript{12,31} As the radial inflow boundary condition far from the axis, we have used this inviscid solution matched asymptotically with the corresponding viscous boundary layer on the plane.\textsuperscript{8} The problem is formulated in such a way that it depends on a unique Reynolds number $Re_0$. For moderately low Reynolds numbers, we find smooth, one-celled solutions. As the Reynolds number increases, several vortex breakdown bubbles with flow recirculation are formed at the axis relatively close to the plane. The last breakdown bubble formed as the Reynolds number is increased, which is the closest to the plane, is associated with a bifurcation of the equation with multiplicity of solutions, similar to that found by Beran and Culik\textsuperscript{25} and by Lopez\textsuperscript{26} for vortex breakdown in a pipe. Eventually, all the breakdown bubbles coalesce and, for a Reynolds number above a critical value, the flow acquires a two-celled structure, with a downdraft axial flow at the axis and an annular updraft region around it where the swirl is intensified. This two-celled structure is in agreement with the observed flow in mature tornadoes. After a second bifurca-
tion, the axial downdraft reaches the ground and we find that far from the solid plane the two-cell flow structure compares quite well with Long’s self-similar solutions of Type II. with negative axial velocity at the axis \((A_1 < 0)\) and a flow force \((M)\), which depends almost linearly with the Reynolds number \(Re_0\). As \(Re_0\) increases, \(A_1\) decreases and \(M\) increases, in such a way that for \(Re_0 \to \infty\) the flow above the ground tends to a two-celled structure with an almost quiescent potential vortex core surrounded by an annular updraft of infinite intensity, corresponding to \(M \to \infty\) and \(A_1 \to -1/\sqrt{2}\) in Long’s self-similar solution.
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